



United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 01/13/2003

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/527,424	03/17/2000		Rolf Kohler	10191/1333	4178	
26646	7590	01/13/2003	•			
KENYON &		N	EXAMINER			
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				MCLEAN MAYO	MCLEAN MAYO, KIMBERLY N	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		_	2187			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

F1	J
-	
E.	
ed	
later. In	
tension ktension tion; or n if	
g the	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/527,424	KOHLER ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kimberly N. McLean-Mayo	2187	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED December 26, 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated and abandonent which	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from:	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriation of the fee in the final the fi	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	s.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	iner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>			
	Barberles	Meen	Neep
.S. Patent and Trademark Office	- 1// //	/	y





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments presented are not persuasive. The terms correct and erasing are standard terms and thus can be given a standard/general meaning. Thus, the interpretation of an approved area to erase or program, wherein approved area means the area is approved as an accurate location for erasing is proper.

Additionally, the Examiner disagrees with the assertions provided by the Applicant with respect to the PGM bit. The PGM bit is altered when the PGM bit is set subsequent to the PGM bit being not set.

Regarding Applicant's arguments regarding claims 7-11 and 19-23, Lee does disclose an identifier identifying a correct programming and erasing and thus the rejection(s) made with Lee and Yousuke do render claims 7-11 and 19-23 obvious under 35 USC 103 (a).

Continuation of 10. Other: The amendment presented overcomes the 35 USC 112 rejection. However, the 35 USC 103 rejection remain